



Defending Against Sequence Number Attacks

(draft-gont-tcpm-rfc1948bis-00.txt)

Fernando Gont (UTN/FRH)
Steven Bellovin (Columbia U.)

80th IETF meeting, Prague, Czech Republic
March 27-April 1, 2011



Introduction

- The current standard algorithm for generating Initial Sequence Numbers (ISNs) produces sequences that are trivially predictable by off-path attackers
- The security implications of predictable TCP sequence numbers have been known for a long time (e.g., Morris paper in 1985)
- RFC 1948 [Bellare, 1996] proposed an algorithm for selecting ISNs such that they are not easily predictable by off-path attackers

RFC 1948

- Proposed to generate ISNs with:
$$\text{ISN} = M + F(\text{localhost}, \text{localport}, \text{remotehost}, \text{remoteport})$$
- Where M is a timer, and F is suggested to be a cryptographic hash function such as MD5
- This expression leads to monotonically-increasing ISNs that are unpredictable by off-path attackers
- RFC 1948 was published as an Informational RFC
- It has been widely implemented and deployed

draft-gont-tcpm-rfc1948bis

- New document aims at Standards Track (rather than Informational):
TCP SHOULD generate its Initial Sequence Numbers with the expression:
 $ISN = M + F(localip, localport, remoteip, remoteport)$
- The discussion of address-based trust relationship attacks IN rfc 1948 was updated to reflect current attack scenarios, and moved to an Appendix.
- Documentation of an old BSD bug was also moved to an Appendix
- In version -00 of the document, the recommended hash algorithm had been changed to SHA-256 [FIPS-SHS]
 - This had been motivated by non-technical reasons
 - Based on later discussions on the mailing-list, we will switch back to MD5 in the next revision



Moving Forward

- This is TCP maintenance work, that is within the charter of the TCPM WG
- So far, the document has received some support on the mailing-list (e.g., William Simpson and Richard Scheffenegger)
- Should TCPM adopt this as a WG item?